

Supersymmetric solutions of 4-dimensional supergravities

Tomás Ortín

(I.F.T. UAM/CSIC, Madrid)

Based on [arXiv:1006.0239](#). Work done with *P. Meessen* (University of Oviedo) and *S. Vaulà* (IFT UAM/CSIC, Madrid)

Talk given on the 23rd of July 2010 at the *4th Mexican Meeting in Mathematical and Experimental Physics*

Plan of the Talk:

- 1 Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions
- 9 The search for **all** 4-d susy solutions
- 12 Review of the $N=2$ case
- 14 The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)
- 16 The $N = 2$ spinor-bilinears algebra
- 17 The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s
- 19 The $N = 2$ supersymmetric solutions
- 21 The all- N formulation of 4-d sugras
- 25 The all- N Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)
- 26 The all- N spinor-bilinears algebra
- 28 The all- N Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)
- 31 The all- N supersymmetric solutions
- 35 Final comments

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

☞ New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- ➔ New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- ➔ Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).
- Interesting for BSM phenomenology.

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).
- Interesting for BSM phenomenology.
- Required for consistency of **superstring** theory.

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).
- Interesting for BSM phenomenology.
- Required for consistency of superstring theory.

Supersymmetry plus locality lead to supergravity

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- ➡ New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- ➡ Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).
- ➡ Interesting for BSM phenomenology.
- ➡ Required for consistency of superstring theory.

Supersymmetry plus locality lead to supergravity

- ⇒ Extensions of GR with fermions plus other bosonic fields ($N = 8$ UV finite?).

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- ➡ New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- ➡ Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).
- ➡ Interesting for BSM phenomenology.
- ➡ Required for consistency of superstring theory.

Supersymmetry plus locality lead to supergravity

- ⇒ Extensions of GR with fermions plus other bosonic fields ($N = 8$ UV finite?).
- ⇒ Low-energy effective field theories for superstring theory on different backgrounds.

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- ➡ New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- ➡ Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).
- ➡ Interesting for BSM phenomenology.
- ➡ Required for consistency of superstring theory.

Supersymmetry plus locality lead to supergravity

- ⇒ Extensions of GR with fermions plus other bosonic fields ($N = 8$ UV finite?).
- ⇒ Low-energy effective field theories for superstring theory on different backgrounds.
- ⇒ Supersymmetric completions of bosonic theories containing gravity (“embedding”).

1 – Intro: rôle and importance of supersymmetric solutions

Why supersymmetry ?

- ➡ New, qualitatively different, symmetry principle.
- ➡ Unifies matter and interactions (bosons ϕ^b and fermions ϕ^f).
- ➡ Interesting for BSM phenomenology.
- ➡ Required for consistency of superstring theory.

Supersymmetry plus locality lead to supergravity

- ⇒ Extensions of GR with fermions plus other bosonic fields ($N = 8$ UV finite?).
- ⇒ Low-energy effective field theories for superstring theory on different backgrounds.
- ⇒ Supersymmetric completions of bosonic theories containing gravity (“embedding”).
- ⇒ Required for consistency of gravity/gauge (AdS/CFT) correspondence.

Solutions of Supergravity

Solutions of Supergravity

- Only consider purely **bosonic** ($\phi^f = 0$) solutions of the classical equations of motion.

Solutions of Supergravity

- ⇒ Only consider purely **bosonic** ($\phi^f = 0$) solutions of the classical equations of motion.
- ⇒ $\phi^f = 0$ is always a consistent truncation: the **bosonic** solutions are automatically solutions of the **bosonic** equations of motion of **GR** + **bosonic** fields.

Solutions of Supergravity

- Only consider purely **bosonic** ($\phi^f = 0$) solutions of the classical equations of motion.
- $\phi^f = 0$ is always a consistent truncation: the **bosonic** solutions are automatically solutions of the **bosonic** equations of motion of **GR** + **bosonic** fields.
- Interpretation: “vacua” (as in **GR** without sources). **Superstring theories** can be quantized consistently only on these solutions.

Solutions of Supergravity

- Only consider purely **bosonic** ($\phi^f = 0$) solutions of the classical equations of motion.
- $\phi^f = 0$ is always a consistent truncation: the **bosonic** solutions are automatically solutions of the **bosonic** equations of motion of **GR** + **bosonic** fields.
- Interpretation: “vacua” (as in **GR** without sources). **Superstring theories** can be quantized consistently only on these solutions.
Observe that the so-called *landscape problem* is common to all theories containing **GR**.

Solutions of Supergravity

- Only consider purely **bosonic** ($\phi^f = 0$) solutions of the classical equations of motion.
- $\phi^f = 0$ is always a consistent truncation: the **bosonic** solutions are automatically solutions of the **bosonic** equations of motion of **GR** + **bosonic** fields.
- Interpretation: “vacua” (as in **GR** without sources). **Superstring theories** can be quantized consistently only on these solutions.
Observe that the so-called *landscape problem* is common to all theories containing **GR**.
- Some solutions (the “**supersymmetric**” ones) can also be interpreted as the long-range fields generated by a **source** which is a state of the (**superstring**) theory.

Solutions of Supergravity

- Only consider purely **bosonic** ($\phi^f = 0$) solutions of the classical equations of motion.
- $\phi^f = 0$ is always a consistent truncation: the **bosonic** solutions are automatically solutions of the **bosonic** equations of motion of **GR** + **bosonic** fields.
- Interpretation: “vacua” (as in **GR** without sources). **Superstring theories** can be quantized consistently only on these solutions.
Observe that the so-called *landscape problem* is common to all theories containing **GR**.
- Some solutions (the “**supersymmetric**” ones) can also be interpreted as the long-range fields generated by a **source** which is a state of the (**superstring**) theory.
The identification of the sources of **supersymmetric** (a.k.a. **BPS**) **black holes** in terms of states (“**D-branes**”) of **Superstring Theory** on a suitable background is the keystone of the microscopic interpretation (via the “**gauge dual**”) of these **black hole**’s **entropy**.

Solutions of Supergravity

- Only consider purely **bosonic** ($\phi^f = 0$) solutions of the classical equations of motion.
- $\phi^f = 0$ is always a consistent truncation: the **bosonic** solutions are automatically solutions of the **bosonic** equations of motion of **GR** + **bosonic** fields.
- Interpretation: “vacua” (as in **GR** without sources). **Superstring theories** can be quantized consistently only on these solutions.
Observe that the so-called *landscape problem* is common to all theories containing **GR**.
- Some solutions (the “**supersymmetric**” ones) can also be interpreted as the long-range fields generated by a **source** which is a state of the (**superstring**) theory.
The identification of the sources of **supersymmetric** (a.k.a. **BPS**) **black holes** in terms of states (“**D-branes**”) of **Superstring Theory** on a suitable background is the keystone of the microscopic interpretation (via the “**gauge dual**”) of these **black hole**’s **entropy**.
The (unbroken) **supersymmetry** of the classical solution plays a crucial role in this and many other problems. This is what makes **supersymmetric** (**BPS**) solutions interesting. Many interesting **GR** solutions **are supersymmetric**.

Supersymmetric Solutions: Definition

Supersymmetric Solutions: Definition

A field configuration of a **supergravity** theory (no necessarily solving its equations of motion) is **supersymmetric** if it is invariant under some **supersymmetry** transformations.

Supersymmetric Solutions: Definition

A field configuration of a **supergravity** theory (no necessarily solving its equations of motion) is **supersymmetric** if it is invariant under some **supersymmetry** transformations.

The **supersymmetry** transformations take the generic form

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\phi^b \sim \bar{\epsilon}\phi^f, \quad \delta_{\epsilon}\phi^f \sim \partial\epsilon + (\phi^b + \bar{\phi}^f\phi^f)\epsilon.$$

Supersymmetric Solutions: Definition

A field configuration of a **supergravity** theory (no necessarily solving its equations of motion) is **supersymmetric** if it is invariant under some **supersymmetry** transformations.

The **supersymmetry** transformations take the generic form

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\phi^b \sim \bar{\epsilon}\phi^f, \quad \delta_{\epsilon}\phi^f \sim \partial\epsilon + (\phi^b + \bar{\phi}^f\phi^f)\epsilon.$$

A **bosonic** configuration ($\phi^f = 0$) will be invariant under the infinitesimal **supersymmetry** transformation generated by $\epsilon^{\alpha}(x)$ if it satisfies the

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\phi^f \sim \partial\epsilon + \phi^b\epsilon = 0. \quad \textit{Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)}$$

Supersymmetric Solutions: Definition

A field configuration of a **supergravity** theory (no necessarily solving its equations of motion) is **supersymmetric** if it is invariant under some **supersymmetry** transformations.

The **supersymmetry** transformations take the generic form

$$\delta_\epsilon \phi^b \sim \bar{\epsilon} \phi^f, \quad \delta_\epsilon \phi^f \sim \partial \epsilon + (\phi^b + \bar{\phi}^f \phi^f) \epsilon.$$

A **bosonic** configuration ($\phi^f = 0$) will be invariant under the infinitesimal **supersymmetry** transformation generated by $\epsilon^\alpha(x)$ if it satisfies the

$$\delta_\epsilon \phi^f \sim \partial \epsilon + \phi^b \epsilon = 0. \quad \textit{Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)}$$

This generalizes the concept of **isometry**, an infinitesimal g.c.t. generated by $\xi^\mu(x)$ that leaves the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ invariant because it satisfies

$$\delta_\xi g_{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)} = 0. \quad \textit{Killing (Vector) Equation}$$

Each **bosonic** symmetry is associated to a generator

$$\xi_{(I)}^\mu(x) \rightarrow P_I,$$

of a (**Lie**) symmetry algebra

$$[P_I, P_J] = f_{IJ}{}^K P_K.$$

Each **bosonic** symmetry is associated to a generator

$$\xi_{(I)}^\mu(x) \rightarrow P_I,$$

of a (**Lie**) symmetry algebra

$$[P_I, P_J] = f_{IJ}^K P_K.$$

Each **supersymmetry** is associated to an odd generator

$$\epsilon_{(n)}^\alpha(x) \rightarrow Q_n,$$

of a (**Lie**) symmetry **superalgebra**

$$[Q_n, P_I] = f_{nI}^m Q_m, \quad \{Q_n, Q_m\} = f_{nm}^I P_I.$$

Each **bosonic** symmetry is associated to a generator

$$\xi_{(I)}^\mu(x) \rightarrow P_I,$$

of a (**Lie**) symmetry algebra

$$[P_I, P_J] = f_{IJ}^K P_K.$$

Each **supersymmetry** is associated to an odd generator

$$\epsilon_{(n)}^\alpha(x) \rightarrow Q_n,$$

of a (**Lie**) symmetry **superalgebra**

$$[Q_n, P_I] = f_{nI}^m Q_m, \quad \{Q_n, Q_m\} = f_{nm}^I P_I.$$

Every **supersymmetric** field configuration has a **supersymmetry superalgebra**. For instance, the **superalgebra** of **Minkowski** spacetime is the **Poincaré superalgebra** with

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = (\gamma^\mu \mathcal{C})_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu.$$

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

- **Supersymmetric** solutions saturate **BPS** bounds like $M = |Q|$ (extreme **Reissner-Nordström black hole** solution).

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

- **Supersymmetric** solutions saturate **BPS** bounds like $M = |Q|$ (extreme **Reissner-Nordström black hole** solution).
- **Multicenter supersymmetric** solutions are possible (**Majumdar -Papapetrou** multi-**R-N-black hole** solution).
(Equilibrium of forces $M_i M_j = Q_i Q_j$?)

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

- **Supersymmetric** solutions saturate **BPS** bounds like $M = |Q|$ (extreme **Reissner-Nordström black hole** solution).
- **Multicenter supersymmetric** solutions are possible (**Majumdar -Papapetrou** multi-**R-N-black hole** solution).
(Equilibrium of forces $M_i M_j = Q_i Q_j$?)
- Identification of sources.

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

- **Supersymmetric** solutions saturate **BPS** bounds like $M = |Q|$ (extreme **Reissner-Nordström black hole** solution).
- **Multicenter supersymmetric** solutions are possible (**Majumdar -Papapetrou** multi-**R-N-black hole** solution).
(Equilibrium of forces $M_i M_j = Q_i Q_j$?)
- Identification of sources.
- Absence of classical and quantum corrections (classical and quantum stability) : results can be extrapolated to different domains (invariance under *dualities*).

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

- **Supersymmetric** solutions saturate **BPS** bounds like $M = |Q|$ (extreme **Reissner-Nordström black hole** solution).
- **Multicenter supersymmetric** solutions are possible (**Majumdar-Papapetrou multi-R-N-black hole** solution).
(Equilibrium of forces $M_i M_j = Q_i Q_j$?)
- Identification of sources.
- Absence of classical and quantum corrections (classical and quantum stability) : results can be extrapolated to different domains (invariance under *dualities*).
- **Supersymmetric** field configurations are more symmetric and have simpler functional forms that depend on a smaller number of independent functions.

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

- **Supersymmetric** solutions saturate **BPS** bounds like $M = |Q|$ (extreme **Reissner-Nordström black hole** solution).
- **Multicenter supersymmetric** solutions are possible (**Majumdar-Papapetrou multi-R-N-black hole** solution).
(Equilibrium of forces $M_i M_j = Q_i Q_j$?)
- Identification of sources.
- Absence of classical and quantum corrections (classical and quantum stability) : results can be extrapolated to different domains (invariance under *dualities*).
- **Supersymmetric** field configurations are more symmetric and have simpler functional forms that depend on a smaller number of independent functions.
- **Supersymmetric** solutions are easier to find: the off-shell equations of motion of **supersymmetric** configurations are related by the *Killing Spinor Identities* (Kallos & O. [hep-th/9306085](#), Bellorín & O. [hep-th/0501246](#))

Supersymmetric Solutions: Properties

- **Supersymmetric** solutions saturate **BPS** bounds like $M = |Q|$ (extreme **Reissner-Nordström black hole** solution).
- **Multicenter supersymmetric** solutions are possible (**Majumdar-Papapetrou multi-R-N-black hole** solution).
(Equilibrium of forces $M_i M_j = Q_i Q_j$?)
- Identification of sources.
- Absence of classical and quantum corrections (classical and quantum stability) : results can be extrapolated to different domains (invariance under *dualities*).
- **Supersymmetric** field configurations are more symmetric and have simpler functional forms that depend on a smaller number of independent functions.
- **Supersymmetric** solutions are easier to find: the off-shell equations of motion of **supersymmetric** configurations are related by the *Killing Spinor Identities* (**Kalosh & O. hep-th/9306085, Bellorín & O. hep-th/0501246**)
- In **supersymmetric** black-hole solutions there is an *attractor mechanism* at work which suppresses **primary scalar hair** and hints at a microscopic interpretation of the entropy (**Ferrara, Kalosh & Strominger, hep-th/9508072, 9602111, 9602136**).

Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

Let us denote the (l.h.s. of the) equations of motion by $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^b} \equiv S_{,b}$, $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^f} \equiv S_{,f}$.

Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

Let us denote the (l.h.s. of the) equations of motion by $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^b} \equiv S_{,b}$, $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^f} \equiv S_{,f}$.

The **bosonic** equations of motion are just $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) \equiv S_{,b}|_{\phi^f=0}$

Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

Let us denote the (l.h.s. of the) equations of motion by $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^b} \equiv S_{,b}$, $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^f} \equiv S_{,f}$.

The **bosonic** equations of motion are just $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) \equiv S_{,b}|_{\phi^f=0}$

The local **supersymmetry** invariance of the action of a **supergravity** theory implies

$$\delta_\epsilon S = \int d^d x (S_{,b} \delta_\epsilon \phi^b + S_{,f} \delta_\epsilon \phi^f) = 0,$$

Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

Let us denote the (l.h.s. of the) equations of motion by $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^b} \equiv S_{,b}$, $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^f} \equiv S_{,f}$.

The **bosonic** equations of motion are just $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) \equiv S_{,b}|_{\phi^f=0}$

The local **supersymmetry** invariance of the action of a **supergravity** theory implies after taking the functional derivative w.r.t. **fermions** and setting them to zero

$$(\delta_\epsilon S)_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = \left\{ \int d^d x (S_{,b} \delta_\epsilon \phi^b + S_{,f} \delta_\epsilon \phi^f) \right\}_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = 0,$$

Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

Let us denote the (l.h.s. of the) equations of motion by $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^b} \equiv S_{,b}$, $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^f} \equiv S_{,f}$.

The **bosonic** equations of motion are just $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) \equiv S_{,b}|_{\phi^f=0}$

The local **supersymmetry** invariance of the action of a **supergravity** theory implies after taking the functional derivative w.r.t. **fermions** and setting them to zero

$$(\delta_\epsilon S)_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = \left\{ \int d^d x (S_{,b} \delta_\epsilon \phi^b + S_{,f} \delta_\epsilon \phi^f) \right\}_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = 0,$$

Many terms vanish automatically because they are odd in **fermion** fields ϕ^f

$$\delta_\epsilon \phi^b|_{\phi^f=0} = S_{,f}|_{\phi^f=0} = (\delta_\epsilon \phi^f)_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = 0,$$

Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

Let us denote the (l.h.s. of the) equations of motion by $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^b} \equiv S_{,b}$, $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^f} \equiv S_{,f}$.

The **bosonic** equations of motion are just $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) \equiv S_{,b}|_{\phi^f=0}$

The local **supersymmetry** invariance of the action of a **supergravity** theory implies after taking the functional derivative w.r.t. **fermions** and setting them to zero

$$(\delta_\epsilon S)_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = \left\{ \int d^d x (S_{,b} \delta_\epsilon \phi^b + S_{,f} \delta_\epsilon \phi^f) \right\}_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = 0,$$

Many terms vanish automatically because they are odd in **fermion** fields ϕ^f

$$\delta_\epsilon \phi^b|_{\phi^f=0} = S_{,f}|_{\phi^f=0} = (\delta_\epsilon \phi^f)_{,f_1}|_{\phi^f=0} = 0,$$

and we get for any field configuration ϕ^b and any ϵ

$$\{S_{,b} (\delta_\epsilon \phi^b)_{,f_1} + S_{,f,f_1} \delta_\epsilon \phi^f\}|_{\phi^f=0} = 0.$$

By definition, for supersymmetric ϕ^b we have $\delta_\epsilon \phi^f \big|_{\phi^f=0}$ (ϵ is a Killing spinor) and we obtain the Killing Spinor Identities

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) (\delta_\epsilon \phi^b)_{,f_1} \big|_{\phi^f=0} = 0.$$

By definition, for **supersymmetric** ϕ^b we have $\delta_\epsilon \phi^f \big|_{\phi^f=0}$ (ϵ is a **Killing spinor**) and we obtain the **Killing Spinor Identities**

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) (\delta_\epsilon \phi^b)_{,f_1} \big|_{\phi^f=0} = 0.$$

These linear relations between the off-shell **bosonic** equations of motion $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b)$ are necessary conditions for unbroken **supersymmetry**. We only need to check a few equations of motion on a **supersymmetric** configuration.

By definition, for **supersymmetric** ϕ^b we have $\delta_\epsilon \phi^f \big|_{\phi^f=0}$ (ϵ is a **Killing spinor**) and we obtain the **Killing Spinor Identities**

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) (\delta_\epsilon \phi^b)_{,f_1} \big|_{\phi^f=0} = 0.$$

These linear relations between the off-shell **bosonic** equations of motion $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b)$ are necessary conditions for unbroken **supersymmetry**. We only need to check a few equations of motion on a **supersymmetric** configuration.

The **KSI**s also constrain the possible sources enforcing *cosmic censorship* if we require them to hold everywhere in spacetime ([Bellorín, Meessen & O. hep-th/0606201](#)).

By definition, for **supersymmetric** ϕ^b we have $\delta_\epsilon \phi^f \big|_{\phi^f=0}$ (ϵ is a **Killing spinor**) and we obtain the **Killing Spinor Identities**

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi^b) (\delta_\epsilon \phi^b)_{,f_1} \big|_{\phi^f=0} = 0.$$

These linear relations between the off-shell **bosonic** equations of motion $\mathcal{E}(\phi^b)$ are necessary conditions for unbroken **supersymmetry**. We only need to check a few equations of motion on a **supersymmetric** configuration.

The **KSI**s also constrain the possible sources enforcing *cosmic censorship* if we require them to hold everywhere in spacetime ([Bellorín, Meessen & O. hep-th/0606201](#)).

Finally, they provide powerful consistency checks when we try to find large families of **supersymmetric** solutions, as we are going to do.

The Attractor Mechanism

Consider a **supersymmetric**, static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat, black-hole solution given by

$$\{g_{rr}(r), F^\Lambda{}_{tr}(r), (\star F^\Lambda{}_{tr})(r), \phi^i(r)\}.$$

The Attractor Mechanism

Consider a **supersymmetric**, static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat, black-hole solution given by

$$\{g_{rr}(r), F^{\Lambda}_{tr}(r), (\star F^{\Lambda}_{tr})(r), \phi^i(r)\}.$$

These solutions are fully characterized by the **electric** and **magnetic** charges q_{Λ}, p^{Λ} and the asymptotic values of the **scalars** ϕ^i_{∞} .

The Attractor Mechanism

Consider a **supersymmetric**, static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat, black-hole solution given by

$$\{g_{rr}(r), F^\Lambda_{tr}(r), (\star F^\Lambda_{tr})(r), \phi^i(r)\}.$$

These solutions are fully characterized by the **electric** and **magnetic** charges q_Λ, p^Λ and the asymptotic values of the **scalars** ϕ^i_∞ . **Supersymmetry** imposes the saturation of the **BPS** bound: $M = f(q_\Lambda, p^\Lambda, \phi^i_\infty)$.

The Attractor Mechanism

Consider a **supersymmetric**, static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat, black-hole solution given by

$$\{g_{rr}(r), F^\Lambda{}_{tr}(r), (\star F^\Lambda{}_{tr})(r), \phi^i(r)\}.$$

These solutions are fully characterized by the **electric** and **magnetic** charges q_Λ, p^Λ and the asymptotic values of the **scalars** ϕ^i_∞ . **Supersymmetry** imposes the saturation of the **BPS** bound: $M = f(q_\Lambda, p^\Lambda, \phi^i_\infty)$.

It can be shown that **at the event horizon** $r = r_H$ the **scalars** ϕ^i and the metric function $r^2 g_{rr}$ take their **attractor** value which only depends on the conserved charges q_Λ, p^Λ and not on ϕ^i_∞):

$$\phi^i(r_H) = \phi^i_{\text{attract}}(q, p), \quad r_H^2 g_{rr}(r_H) = 4\pi S(q, p).$$

The Attractor Mechanism

Consider a **supersymmetric**, static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat, black-hole solution given by

$$\{g_{rr}(r), F^\Lambda{}_{tr}(r), (\star F^\Lambda{}_{tr})(r), \phi^i(r)\}.$$

These solutions are fully characterized by the **electric** and **magnetic** charges q_Λ, p^Λ and the asymptotic values of the **scalars** ϕ^i_∞ . **Supersymmetry** imposes the saturation of the **BPS** bound: $M = f(q_\Lambda, p^\Lambda, \phi^i_\infty)$.

It can be shown that **at the event horizon** $r = r_H$ the **scalars** ϕ^i and the metric function $r^2 g_{rr}$ take their **attractor** value which only depends on the conserved charges q_Λ, p^Λ and not on ϕ^i_∞ :

$$\phi^i(r_H) = \phi^i_{\text{attract}}(q, p), \quad r_H^2 g_{rr}(r_H) = 4\pi S(q, p).$$

This proves that, at least for these **supersymmetric black holes**, the **Bekenstein -Hawking** entropy $S(q, p)$ only depends on charges which are going to be quantized, and therefore it is just a function of integer numbers amenable to a **microscopic interpretation**.

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

→ Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- ➔ Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- ➔ Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity). \Rightarrow A complete answer is possible.

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- ➔ Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- ➔ Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity). \Rightarrow A complete answer is possible.
- ➔ Tod (1995) (Pure $N = 4$ supergravity).

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity). \Rightarrow A complete answer is possible.
- Tod (1995) (Pure $N = 4$ supergravity).
- Gauntlett, Gutowski, Hull, Pakis & Reall (2002) (Pure $N = 1$ $d = 5$ supergravity).

Spinor-bilinears method

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity). \Rightarrow A complete answer is possible.
- Tod (1995) (Pure $N = 4$ supergravity).
- Gauntlett, Gutowski, Hull, Pakis & Reall (2002) (Pure $N = 1$ $d = 5$ supergravity).

Spinor-bilinears method

- **2003:** Gauntlett & Pakis + Gauntlett, Gutowski & Pakis ($N = 1$ $d = 11$); Gauntlett & Gutowski (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$); Caldarelli & Klemm (Pure gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$); Gutowski, Martelli & Reall; Chamseddine, Figueroa-O'Farrill & Sabra ($N = (2, 0)$ $d = 6$)

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity). \Rightarrow A complete answer is possible.
- Tod (1995) (Pure $N = 4$ supergravity).
- Gauntlett, Gutowski, Hull, Pakis & Reall (2002) (Pure $N = 1$ $d = 5$ supergravity).

Spinor-bilinears method

- **2003:** Gauntlett & Pakis + Gauntlett, Gutowski & Pakis ($N = 1$ $d = 11$); Gauntlett & Gutowski (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$); Caldarelli & Klemm (Pure gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$); Gutowski, Martelli & Reall; Chamseddine, Figueroa-O'Farrill & Sabra ($N = (2, 0)$ $d = 6$)
- **2004:** Cariglia & Mac Conamhna ($N = 1$ $d = 7$ and gauged $N = (2, 0)$ $d = 6$)

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- ➡ Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- ➡ Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity). \Rightarrow A complete answer is possible.
- ➡ Tod (1995) (Pure $N = 4$ supergravity).
- ➡ Gauntlett, Gutowski, Hull, Pakis & Reall (2002) (Pure $N = 1$ $d = 5$ supergravity).

Spinor-bilinears method

- ➡ **2003:** Gauntlett & Pakis + Gauntlett, Gutowski & Pakis ($N = 1$ $d = 11$); Gauntlett & Gutowski (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$); Caldarelli & Klemm (Pure gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$); Gutowski, Martelli & Reall; Chamseddine, Figueroa-O'Farrill & Sabra ($N = (2, 0)$ $d = 6$)
- ➡ **2004:** Cariglia & Mac Conamhna ($N = 1$ $d = 7$ and gauged $N = (2, 0)$ $d = 6$)
- ➡ **2005:** Bellorín & O. (Pure $N = 4$ $d = 4$ revisited)

2 – The search for all 4-d susy solutions

- Gibbons & Hull (1982) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity).
- Tod (1983) (Pure $N = 2$ supergravity). \Rightarrow A complete answer is possible.
- Tod (1995) (Pure $N = 4$ supergravity).
- Gauntlett, Gutowski, Hull, Pakis & Reall (2002) (Pure $N = 1$ $d = 5$ supergravity).

Spinor-bilinears method

- **2003:** Gauntlett & Pakis + Gauntlett, Gutowski & Pakis ($N = 1$ $d = 11$); Gauntlett & Gutowski (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$); Caldarelli & Klemm (Pure gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$); Gutowski, Martelli & Reall; Chamseddine, Figueroa-O'Farrill & Sabra ($N = (2, 0)$ $d = 6$)
- **2004:** Cariglia & Mac Conamhna ($N = 1$ $d = 7$ and gauged $N = (2, 0)$ $d = 6$)
- **2005:** Bellorín & O. (Pure $N = 4$ $d = 4$ revisited)
- **2006:** Bellorín, Meessen & O. ($N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets); Meessen & O. ($N = 2$ $d = 4$ with vector multiplets); Hübscher, Meessen & O. ($N = 2$ $d = 4$ with vector multiplets and hypermultiplets).

☞ **2007:** Bellorín & O. (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets and hypermultiplets).

- ➔ **2007:** Bellorín & O. (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets and hypermultiplets).
- ➔ **2008:** Cacciatori, Klemm, Mansi & Zorzan (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets); Hübscher, Meessen, O. & Vaulà (non-Abelian Gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$ with vector multiplets); Bellorín (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector and tensor multiplets).

- ➔ **2007:** Bellorín & O. (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets and hypermultiplets).
- ➔ **2008:** Cacciatori, Klemm, Mansi & Zorzan (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets); Hübscher, Meessen, O. & Vaulà (non-Abelian Gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$ with vector multiplets); Bellorín (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector and tensor multiplets).
- ➔ **2010:** Deger, Samtleben & Sarioglu (Gauged $N = 8$ $d = 3$).

- ☞ **2007:** Bellorín & O. (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets and hypermultiplets).
- ☞ **2008:** Cacciatori, Klemm, Mansi & Zorzan (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets); Hübscher, Meessen, O. & Vaulà (non-Abelian Gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$ with vector multiplets); Bellorín (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector and tensor multiplets).
- ☞ **2010:** Deger, Samtleben & Sarioglu (Gauged $N = 8$ $d = 3$).

However, in $d = 4$ the **spinor** -bilinears method has not given satisfactory results for $N > 2$. (It has not been tried for $d > 4$).

- ➔ **2007:** Bellorín & O. (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets and hypermultiplets).
- ➔ **2008:** Cacciatori, Klemm, Mansi & Zorzan (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector multiplets); Hübscher, Meessen, O. & Vaulà (non-Abelian Gauged $N = 2$ $d = 4$ with vector multiplets); Bellorín (Gauged $N = 1$ $d = 5$ with vector and tensor multiplets).
- ➔ **2010:** Deger, Samtleben & Sarioglu (Gauged $N = 8$ $d = 3$).

However, in $d = 4$ the **spinor** -bilinears method has not given satisfactory results for $N > 2$. (It has not been tried for $d > 4$).

For $N > 2$ there are **too many spinor bilinears** and we do not know how to extract the (**not spacetime-geometric**) information they must surely contain.

In this talk we are going to show how to solve those problems and determine the form of **all** the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ supergravities using the **spinor-bilinear method**.

3 – Review of the $N=2$ case

Since the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of $N > 2$ turn out to be related to those of $N = 2$ theories (Hübscher, Meessen & O. (2006)), we briefly review them first.

3 – Review of the $N=2$ case

Since the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of $N > 2$ turn out to be related to those of $N = 2$ theories (Hübscher, Meessen & O. (2006)), we briefly review them first.

The $N = 2$ **supergravity** multiplet is

$$\{e^a{}_{\mu}, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_{\mu}\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, 2, \quad \Rightarrow A^{IJ}{}_{\mu} = A^0{}_{\mu} \varepsilon^{IJ}.$$

3 – Review of the $N=2$ case

Since the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of $N > 2$ turn out to be related to those of $N = 2$ theories (Hübscher, Meessen & O. (2006)), we briefly review them first.

The $N = 2$ **supergravity** multiplet is

$$\{e^a{}_{\mu}, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_{\mu}\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, 2, \quad \Rightarrow A^{IJ}{}_{\mu} = A^0{}_{\mu} \varepsilon^{IJ}.$$

The (n) $N = 2$ vector multiplets are

$$\{A^i{}_{\mu}, \lambda^i{}_I, Z^i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \Rightarrow A^{\Lambda}{}_{\mu}, \quad \Lambda = 0, \dots, n.$$

3 – Review of the $N=2$ case

Since the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of $N > 2$ turn out to be related to those of $N = 2$ theories (Hübscher, Meessen & O. (2006)), we briefly review them first.

The $N = 2$ **supergravity** multiplet is

$$\{e^a{}_\mu, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_\mu\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, 2, \quad \Rightarrow A^{IJ}{}_\mu = A^0{}_\mu \varepsilon^{IJ}.$$

The (n) $N = 2$ **vector** multiplets are

$$\{A^i{}_\mu, \lambda^i{}_I, Z^i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \Rightarrow A^\Lambda{}_\mu, \quad \Lambda = 0, \dots, n.$$

The (m) **hypermultiplets** are

$$\{\zeta_\alpha, q^u\}, \quad u = 1, \dots, 4m, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 2m.$$

3 – Review of the N=2 case

Since the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of $N > 2$ turn out to be related to those of $N = 2$ theories (Hübscher, Meessen & O. (2006)), we briefly review them first.

The $N = 2$ **supergravity** multiplet is

$$\{e^a{}_\mu, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_\mu\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, 2, \quad \Rightarrow A^{IJ}{}_\mu = A^0{}_\mu \varepsilon^{IJ}.$$

The (n) $N = 2$ **vector** multiplets are

$$\{A^i{}_\mu, \lambda^i{}_I, Z^i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \Rightarrow A^\Lambda{}_\mu, \quad \Lambda = 0, \dots, n.$$

The (m) **hypermultiplets** are

$$\{\zeta_\alpha, q^u\}, \quad u = 1, \dots, 4m, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 2m.$$

The n complex **scalars** are encoded into the $2\bar{n}$ -dimensional **symplectic** section ($\bar{n} = 1 + n$)

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}^\Lambda \\ \mathcal{M}_\Lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \mathcal{V} | \mathcal{V}^* \rangle = -2i.$$

3 – Review of the N=2 case

Since the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of $N > 2$ turn out to be related to those of $N = 2$ theories (Hübscher, Meessen & O. (2006)), we briefly review them first.

The $N = 2$ **supergravity** multiplet is

$$\{e^a{}_{\mu}, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_{\mu}\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, 2, \quad \Rightarrow A^{IJ}{}_{\mu} = A^0{}_{\mu} \varepsilon^{IJ}.$$

The (n) $N = 2$ **vector** multiplets are

$$\{A^i{}_{\mu}, \lambda^i{}_I, Z^i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \Rightarrow A^{\Lambda}{}_{\mu}, \quad \Lambda = 0, \dots, n.$$

The (m) **hypermultiplets** are

$$\{\zeta_{\alpha}, q^u\}, \quad u = 1, \dots, 4m, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 2m.$$

The n complex **scalars** are encoded into the $2\bar{n}$ -dimensional **symplectic** section ($\bar{n} = 1 + n$)

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \mathcal{V} | \mathcal{V}^* \rangle = -2i.$$

This is an extremely **redundant** (but **useful**) description of the **scalars**.

The **supersymmetry** transformations of the **fermions** are

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \psi_{I\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mu} \epsilon_I + \varepsilon_{IJ} T^{+}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda^{iI} = i \not{\partial} Z^i \epsilon^I + \varepsilon^{IJ} G^i + \epsilon_J.$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \zeta_{\alpha} = -i C_{\alpha\beta} U^{\beta I}_u \varepsilon_{IJ} \not{\partial} q^u \epsilon^J,$$

The **supersymmetry** transformations of the **fermions** are

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \psi_{I\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mu} \epsilon_I + \varepsilon_{IJ} T^{+}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda^{iI} = i \not{\partial} Z^i \epsilon^I + \varepsilon^{IJ} G^{i+} \epsilon_J.$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \zeta_{\alpha} = -i \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta} U^{\beta I}_u \varepsilon_{IJ} \not{\partial} q^u \epsilon^J,$$

where the graviphoton and matter vector field strengths are

$$T^{+} = \langle \mathcal{V} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad G^{i+} = \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{G}^{ij*} \langle \mathcal{D}_{j*} \mathcal{V}^{*} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{F}^{+} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} F^{\Lambda+} \\ \mathcal{N}^{*}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Sigma+} \end{pmatrix},$$

The **supersymmetry** transformations of the **fermions** are

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \psi_{I\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mu} \epsilon_I + \varepsilon_{IJ} T^{+}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda^{iI} = i \not{\partial} Z^i \epsilon^I + \varepsilon^{IJ} G^{i+} \epsilon_J.$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \zeta_{\alpha} = -i \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta} U^{\beta I}_u \varepsilon_{IJ} \not{\partial} q^u \epsilon^J,$$

where the graviphoton and matter vector field strengths are

$$T^{+} = \langle \mathcal{V} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad G^{i+} = \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{G}^{ij*} \langle \mathcal{D}_{j*} \mathcal{V}^{*} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{F}^{+} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} F^{\Lambda+} \\ \mathcal{N}^{*}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Sigma+} \end{pmatrix},$$

\mathfrak{D} is the **Lorentz**-, **Kähler**- and $SU(2)$ - covariant derivative (**Kähler** + $SU(2)$ = $U(2)$)

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu} \epsilon_I = \left(\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{Q}_{\mu} \right) \epsilon_I + A_{\mu I}{}^J \epsilon_J,$$

and where $U^{\alpha I}_u(q)$ is the *Quadbein*.

The **supersymmetry** transformations of the **fermions** are

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \psi_{I\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mu} \epsilon_I + \varepsilon_{IJ} T^{+}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda^{iI} = i \not{\partial} Z^i \epsilon^I + \varepsilon^{IJ} G^{i+} \epsilon_J.$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \zeta_{\alpha} = -i \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta} U^{\beta I}_u \varepsilon_{IJ} \not{\partial} q^u \epsilon^J,$$

where the graviphoton and matter vector field strengths are

$$T^{+} = \langle \mathcal{V} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad G^{i+} = \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{G}^{ij*} \langle \mathcal{D}_{j*} \mathcal{V}^{*} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{F}^{+} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} F^{\Lambda+} \\ \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^{*} F^{\Sigma+} \end{pmatrix},$$

\mathfrak{D} is the **Lorentz-**, **Kähler-** and $SU(2)$ - covariant derivative (**Kähler** + $SU(2)$ = $U(2)$)

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu} \epsilon_I = \left(\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{Q}_{\mu} \right) \epsilon_I + A_{\mu I}{}^J \epsilon_J,$$

and where $U^{\alpha I}_u(q)$ is the *Quadbein*. The action for the bosonic fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R + 2\mathcal{G}_{ij*} \partial_{\mu} Z^i \partial^{\mu} Z^{*j*} + 2\mathbb{H}_{uv} \partial_{\mu} q^u \partial^{\mu} q^v \right. \\ \left. + 2\Im \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Re \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} \star F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \right].$$

4 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)

4 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)

They take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu \epsilon_I + \varepsilon_{IJ} T^+_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J &= 0, \\ i \not{\partial} Z^i \epsilon^I + \varepsilon^{IJ} \not{G}^i \epsilon_J &= 0, \\ -i \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta} U^{\beta I}_u \varepsilon_{IJ} \not{\partial} q^u \epsilon^J &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)

They take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu \epsilon_I + \varepsilon_{IJ} T^+_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J &= 0, \\ i \not{\partial} Z^i \epsilon^I + \varepsilon^{IJ} \not{G}^i \epsilon_J &= 0, \\ -i \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta} U^{\beta I}_u \varepsilon_{IJ} \not{\partial} q^u \epsilon^J &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The goal is to find **all** the bosonic field configurations $\{e^a{}_\mu, A^\Lambda{}_\mu, Z^i, q^u\}$ such that the above **KSEs** admit at least one solution ϵ^I .

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

1. Assume that one has a **bosonic** field configuration such that ϵ^I exists.

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

1. Assume that one has a **bosonic** field configuration such that ϵ^I exists.
2. Construct all the independent bilinears with the **commuting Killing spinor** ϵ^I and find the equations they satisfy:

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

1. Assume that one has a **bosonic** field configuration such that ϵ^I exists.
2. Construct all the independent bilinears with the **commuting Killing spinor** ϵ^I and find the equations they satisfy:
 - (a) Due to the **Fierz** identities. (*Spinor-bilinear algebra*)

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

1. Assume that one has a **bosonic** field configuration such that ϵ^I exists.
2. Construct all the independent bilinears with the **commuting Killing spinor** ϵ^I and find the equations they satisfy:
 - (a) Due to the **Fierz** identities. (*Spinor-bilinear algebra*)
 - (b) Due to the **KSEs**.

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

1. Assume that one has a **bosonic** field configuration such that ϵ^I exists.
2. Construct all the independent bilinears with the **commuting Killing spinor** ϵ^I and find the equations they satisfy:
 - (a) Due to the **Fierz** identities. (*Spinor-bilinear algebra*)
 - (b) Due to the **KSEs**.
3. Find their integrability conditions and show that they are also sufficient to solve the **KSEs**. At this point all **supersymmetric** configurations are determined.

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

1. Assume that one has a **bosonic** field configuration such that ϵ^I exists.
2. Construct all the independent bilinears with the **commuting Killing spinor** ϵ^I and find the equations they satisfy:
 - (a) Due to the **Fierz** identities. (*Spinor-bilinear algebra*)
 - (b) Due to the **KSEs**.
3. Find their integrability conditions and show that they are also sufficient to solve the **KSEs**. At this point all **supersymmetric** configurations are determined.
4. Determine which equations of motion are independent for **supersymmetric** configurations. This is determined by the **Killing Spinor Identities** (**KSIs**).

The **spinor-bilinear method** consists in the following steps:

1. Assume that one has a **bosonic** field configuration such that ϵ^I exists.
2. Construct all the independent bilinears with the **commuting Killing spinor** ϵ^I and find the equations they satisfy:
 - (a) Due to the **Fierz** identities. (*Spinor-bilinear algebra*)
 - (b) Due to the **KSEs**.
3. Find their integrability conditions and show that they are also sufficient to solve the **KSEs**. At this point all **supersymmetric** configurations are determined.
4. Determine which equations of motion are independent for **supersymmetric** configurations. This is determined by the **Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)**.
5. Impose the independent equations of motion on the **supersymmetric** configurations we just identified.

5 – The $N = 2$ spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(2)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

5 – The $N = 2$ spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(2)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = X \varepsilon_{IJ}$.
 X is an $SU(2)$ singlet but has $U(1)$ Kähler weight.

5 – The $N = 2$ spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(2)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = X \varepsilon_{IJ}$.
 X is an $SU(2)$ singlet but has $U(1)$ Kähler weight.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

5 – The $N = 2$ spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(2)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = X \varepsilon_{IJ}$.
 X is an $SU(2)$ singlet but has $U(1)$ Kähler weight.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4-d Fierz identities imply that $V_a \equiv V^I_{I a}$ is always non-spacelike:

$$V^2 = -V^I_J \cdot V^J_I = 2M^{IJ} M_{IJ} = 4|X|^2 \geq 0.$$

We only consider the timelike case $X \neq 0$ in which all $V^I_{J a}$ are independent.

5 – The $N = 2$ spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(2)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = X \varepsilon_{IJ}$.
 X is an $SU(2)$ singlet but has $U(1)$ Kähler weight.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4-d Fierz identities imply that $V_a \equiv V^I_{I a}$ is always non-spacelike:

$$V^2 = -V^I_J \cdot V^J_I = 2M^{IJ} M_{IJ} = 4|X|^2 \geq 0.$$

We only consider the timelike case $X \neq 0$ in which all $V^I_{J a}$ are independent.

With them one can construct a tetrad

$$V^a_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V^I_{J \mu} (\sigma^a)^J_I, \quad V^I_{J \mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V^a_{\mu} (\sigma^a)^I_J,$$

with $\sigma^0 = 1$ and σ^m the 2×2 Pauli matrices as an orthonormal tetrad in which $V^0 = \sqrt{2}V$ is timelike and the V^m s are spacelike.

5 – The $N = 2$ spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(2)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = X \varepsilon_{IJ}$.
 X is an $SU(2)$ singlet but has $U(1)$ Kähler weight.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4-d Fierz identities imply that $V_a \equiv V^I_{I a}$ is always non-spacelike:

$$V^2 = -V^I_J \cdot V^J_I = 2M^{IJ} M_{IJ} = 4|X|^2 \geq 0.$$

We only consider the timelike case $X \neq 0$ in which all $V^I_{J a}$ are independent.

With them one can construct a tetrad

$$V^a_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V^I_{J \mu} (\sigma^a)^J_I, \quad V^I_{J \mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V^a_{\mu} (\sigma^a)^I_J,$$

with $\sigma^0 = 1$ and σ^m the 2×2 Pauli matrices as an orthonormal tetrad in which $V^0 = \sqrt{2}V$ is timelike and the V^m s are spacelike. (**This will not work for $N > 2$!**)

6 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s

If we assume that a given **bosonic** field configuration admits a **Killing spinor** ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^i, \mathcal{E}_u\}$ satisfy the **KSI**s:

6 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^i, \mathcal{E}_u\}$ satisfy the KSIs:

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$

6 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^i, \mathcal{E}_u\}$ satisfy the KSIs:

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$

2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0.$

6 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^i, \mathcal{E}_u\}$ satisfy the KSIs:

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$
2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0.$
3. $\mathcal{E}_u = 0, (\Rightarrow \text{no attractor mechanism for hyperscalars})$

6 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^i, \mathcal{E}_u\}$ satisfy the KSI:s

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$
2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0.$
3. $\mathcal{E}_u = 0, (\Rightarrow \text{no attractor mechanism for hyperscalars})$
4. $\mathcal{E}^{00} = -4|X|\langle \mathcal{E}^0 | \Re(\mathcal{V}/X) \rangle, (\text{Bogomol'nyi bound})$

6 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^i, \mathcal{E}_u\}$ satisfy the KSI:s

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$
2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0.$
3. $\mathcal{E}_u = 0, (\Rightarrow \text{no attractor mechanism for hyperscalars})$
4. $\mathcal{E}^{00} = -4|X|\langle \mathcal{E}^0 | \Re(\mathcal{V}/X) \rangle, (\text{Bogomol'nyi bound})$
5. $0 = \langle \mathcal{E}^0 | \Im(\mathcal{V}/X) \rangle, (\Rightarrow \text{no NUT charges}) (\text{Bellorín, Meessen, Ortín (2008)}).$

6 – The $N = 2$ Killing Spinor Identities (KSI)s

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^i, \mathcal{E}_u\}$ satisfy the KSI:s

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$

2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0.$

3. $\mathcal{E}_u = 0, (\Rightarrow \text{no attractor mechanism for hyperscalars})$

4. $\mathcal{E}^{00} = -4|X| \langle \mathcal{E}^0 | \Re(\mathcal{V}/X) \rangle, (\text{Bogomol'nyi bound})$

5. $0 = \langle \mathcal{E}^0 | \Im(\mathcal{V}/X) \rangle, (\Rightarrow \text{no NUT charges}) (\text{Bellorín, Meessen, Ortín (2008)}).$

6. $\mathcal{E}_{i^*} = 2 \left(\frac{X}{X^*} \right)^{1/2} \langle \mathcal{E}^0 | \mathcal{D}_{i^*} \mathcal{V}^* \rangle, (\Rightarrow \text{attractor mechanism})$

The only independent equations of motion that have to be imposed on $N = 2$, $d = 4$ supersymmetric configurations are

$$\mathcal{E}^0 = 0.$$

7 – The $N = 2$ supersymmetric solutions

They can be constructed as follows:

7 – The $N = 2$ supersymmetric solutions

They can be constructed as follows:

1. Define the $U(1)$ -neutral real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{V}/X .$$

(\Rightarrow No **Kähler** nor $SU(2)$ **gauge** -fixing is necessary!)

7 – The $N = 2$ supersymmetric solutions

They can be constructed as follows:

1. Define the $U(1)$ -neutral real symplectic vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{V}/X.$$

(\Rightarrow No Kähler nor $SU(2)$ gauge -fixing is necessary!)

2. The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a symplectic vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric γ_{mn} :

7 – The $N = 2$ supersymmetric solutions

They can be constructed as follows:

1. Define the $U(1)$ -neutral real symplectic vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{V}/X.$$

(\Rightarrow No Kähler nor $SU(2)$ gauge -fixing is necessary!)

2. The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a symplectic vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric γ_{mn} :

$$\nabla_{(3)}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0.$$

7 – The $N = 2$ supersymmetric solutions

They can be constructed as follows:

1. Define the $U(1)$ -neutral real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{V}/X.$$

(\Rightarrow No **Kähler** nor $SU(2)$ **gauge** -fixing is necessary!)

2. The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a **symplectic** vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric γ_{mn} :

$$\nabla_{(3)}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0.$$

3. \mathcal{R} is to be found from \mathcal{I} by solving the generalized *stabilization equations* (using the redundancy of \mathcal{V}).

7 – The $N = 2$ supersymmetric solutions

They can be constructed as follows:

1. Define the $U(1)$ -neutral real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{V}/X.$$

(\Rightarrow No **Kähler** nor $SU(2)$ **gauge** -fixing is necessary!)

2. The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a **symplectic** vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric γ_{mn} :

$$\nabla_{(3)}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0.$$

3. \mathcal{R} is to be found from \mathcal{I} by solving the generalized *stabilization equations* (using the redundancy of \mathcal{V}).

4. The **scalars** Z^i are given by the quotients

$$Z^i = \frac{\mathcal{V}^i/X}{\mathcal{V}^0/X} = \frac{\mathcal{R}^i + i\mathcal{I}^i}{\mathcal{R}^0 + i\mathcal{I}^0}.$$

5. The **hyperscalars** $q^u(x)$ are the mappings satisfying

$$U^{\alpha J}_m (\sigma^m)_J{}^I = 0, \quad U^{\alpha J}_n \equiv V_n{}^m \partial_{\underline{m}} q^u U^{\alpha J}_u.$$

5. The **hyperscalars** $q^u(x)$ are the mappings satisfying

$$U^{\alpha J}{}_m (\sigma^m)_{J^I} = 0, \quad U^{\alpha J}{}_n \equiv V_n{}^m \partial_{\underline{m}} q^u U^{\alpha J}{}_u.$$

6. The metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = 2|X|^2(dt + \omega)^2 - \frac{1}{2|X|^2} \gamma_{\underline{mn}} dx^m dx^n.$$

5. The **hyperscalars** $q^u(x)$ are the mappings satisfying

$$U^{\alpha J}{}_m (\sigma^m)_{J^I} = 0, \quad U^{\alpha J}{}_n \equiv V_n{}^m \partial_{\underline{m}} q^u U^{\alpha J}{}_u.$$

6. The metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = 2|X|^2(dt + \omega)^2 - \frac{1}{2|X|^2} \gamma_{\underline{mn}} dx^m dx^n.$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2|X|^2} = \langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{I} \rangle, \quad (d\omega)_{mn} = 2\epsilon_{mnp} \langle \mathcal{I} | \partial^p \mathcal{I} \rangle.$$

5. The **hyperscalars** $q^u(x)$ are the mappings satisfying

$$U^{\alpha J}{}_m (\sigma^m)_{J^I} = 0, \quad U^{\alpha J}{}_n \equiv V_n{}^m \partial_{\underline{m}} q^u U^{\alpha J}{}_u.$$

6. The metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = 2|X|^2(dt + \omega)^2 - \frac{1}{2|X|^2} \gamma_{\underline{mn}} dx^m dx^n.$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2|X|^2} = \langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{I} \rangle, \quad (d\omega)_{mn} = 2\epsilon_{mnp} \langle \mathcal{I} | \partial^p \mathcal{I} \rangle.$$

$\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$ is determined indirectly from the **hyperscalars** : its spin connection ϖ^{mn} in the basis $\{V^m\}$ is related to the pullback of the $SU(2)$ connection of the **hyper-Kähler** manifold $A^I{}_{J\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A^m{}_u (\sigma^m)^I{}_J \partial_\mu q^u$, by

$$\varpi_m{}^{np} = \varepsilon^{npq} A^q{}_m.$$

5. The **hyperscalars** $q^u(x)$ are the mappings satisfying

$$U^{\alpha J}{}_m (\sigma^m)_{J^I} = 0, \quad U^{\alpha J}{}_n \equiv V_n{}^m \partial_{\underline{m}} q^u U^{\alpha J}{}_u.$$

6. The metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = 2|X|^2(dt + \omega)^2 - \frac{1}{2|X|^2} \gamma_{\underline{mn}} dx^m dx^n.$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2|X|^2} = \langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{I} \rangle, \quad (d\omega)_{mn} = 2\epsilon_{mnp} \langle \mathcal{I} | \partial^p \mathcal{I} \rangle.$$

$\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$ is determined indirectly from the **hyperscalars**: its spin connection ϖ^{mn} in the basis $\{V^m\}$ is related to the pullback of the $SU(2)$ connection of the **hyper-Kähler** manifold $A^I{}_{J\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A^m{}_u (\sigma^m)^I{}_J \partial_\mu q^u$, by

$$\varpi_m{}^{np} = \varepsilon^{npq} A^q{}_m.$$

7. The vector field strengths are

$$\mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{2} d(\mathcal{R}\hat{V}) - \frac{1}{2} \star (\hat{V} \wedge d\mathcal{I}), \quad \hat{V} = 2\sqrt{2}|X|^2(dt + \omega).$$

8 – The all-N formulation of 4-d sugras

8 – The all-N formulation of 4-d sugras

All 4-d **supergravity** multiplets can be written in the form

$$\{e^a{}_{\mu}, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_{\mu}, \chi_{IJK}, P_{IJKL\mu}, \chi^{IJKLM}\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, \dots, N,$$

8 – The all-N formulation of 4-d sugras

All 4-d **supergravity** multiplets can be written in the form

$$\{e^a{}_{\mu}, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_{\mu}, \chi_{IJK}, P_{IJKL\mu}, \chi^{IJKLM}\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, \dots, N,$$

All vector multiplets can be written in the form

$$\{A_{i\mu}, \lambda_{iI}, P_{iIJ\mu}, \lambda_i^{IJK}\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

8 – The all-N formulation of 4-d sugras

All 4-d **supergravity** multiplets can be written in the form

$$\{e^a{}_{\mu}, \psi_{I\mu}, A^{IJ}{}_{\mu}, \chi_{IJK}, P_{IJKL\mu}, \chi^{IJKLM}\}, \quad I, J, \dots = 1, \dots, N,$$

All vector multiplets can be written in the form

$$\{A_{i\mu}, \lambda_{iI}, P_{iIJ\mu}, \lambda_i^{IJK}\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The price to pay for using this representation is that all the fields that can be related by $SU(N)$ **duality** relations, are:

- $N = 4$: $P^{*iIJ} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{IJKL}P_{iKL}$, and $\lambda_{iI} = \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{IJKL}\lambda_i^{IJK}$.
- $N = 6$: $P^{*IJ} = \frac{1}{4!}\varepsilon^{IJK_1\dots K_4}P_{K_1\dots K_4}$, $\chi_{IJK} = \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{IJKLMN}\lambda^{IJK}$,
and $\chi^{I_1\dots I_5} = \varepsilon^{I_1\dots I_5J}\lambda_J$.
- $N = 8$: $P^{*I_1\dots I_4} = \frac{1}{4!}\varepsilon^{I_1\dots I_4J_1\dots J_4}P_{J_1\dots J_4}$, and $\chi_{I_1I_2I_3} = \frac{1}{5!}\varepsilon_{I_1I_2I_3J_1\dots J_5}\chi^{J_1\dots J_5}$.

These constraints must be taken into account in the action.

The scalars are encoded into the $2\bar{n}$ -dimensional ($\bar{n} \equiv n + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$) symplectic vectors

$$\mathcal{V}_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_{IJ} \\ h_{\Lambda IJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_i = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_i \\ h_{\Lambda i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = 1, \dots, \bar{n},$$

normalized

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | \mathcal{V}^{*KL} \rangle = -2i\delta^{KL}_{IJ}, \quad \langle \mathcal{V}_i | \mathcal{V}^{*j} \rangle = -i\delta_i^j.$$

The **scalars** are encoded into the $2\bar{n}$ -dimensional ($\bar{n} \equiv n + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$) **symplectic** vectors

$$\mathcal{V}_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_{IJ} \\ h_{\Lambda IJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_i = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_i \\ h_{\Lambda i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = 1, \dots, \bar{n},$$

normalized

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | \mathcal{V}^{*KL} \rangle = -2i\delta^{KL}_{IJ}, \quad \langle \mathcal{V}_i | \mathcal{V}^{*j} \rangle = -i\delta_i^j.$$

They can be combined into the $Usp(\bar{n}, \bar{n})$ matrix

$$U \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} f + ih & f^* + ih^* \\ f - ih & f^* - ih^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

The **scalars** are encoded into the $2\bar{n}$ -dimensional ($\bar{n} \equiv n + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$) **symplectic** vectors

$$\mathcal{V}_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_{IJ} \\ h_{\Lambda IJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_i = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_i \\ h_{\Lambda i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = 1, \dots, \bar{n},$$

normalized

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | \mathcal{V}^{*KL} \rangle = -2i\delta^{KL}_{IJ}, \quad \langle \mathcal{V}_i | \mathcal{V}^{*j} \rangle = -i\delta_i^j.$$

They can be combined into the $Usp(\bar{n}, \bar{n})$ matrix

$$U \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} f + ih & f^* + ih^* \\ f - ih & f^* - ih^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

They generalize the $N = 2$ sections

$$\mathcal{V}_{IJ} = \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon IJ}, = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}_{\varepsilon IJ} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \varepsilon IJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_i \\ h_{\Lambda i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The **scalars** are encoded into the $2\bar{n}$ -dimensional ($\bar{n} \equiv n + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$) **symplectic** vectors

$$\mathcal{V}_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_{IJ} \\ h_{\Lambda IJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_i = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_i \\ h_{\Lambda i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = 1, \dots, \bar{n},$$

normalized

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | \mathcal{V}^{*KL} \rangle = -2i\delta^{KL}_{IJ}, \quad \langle \mathcal{V}_i | \mathcal{V}^{*j} \rangle = -i\delta_i^j.$$

They can be combined into the $Usp(\bar{n}, \bar{n})$ matrix

$$U \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} f + ih & f^* + ih^* \\ f - ih & f^* - ih^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

They generalize the $N = 2$ sections

$$\mathcal{V}_{IJ} = \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon IJ}, = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}_{\varepsilon IJ} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \varepsilon IJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{\Lambda}_i \\ h_{\Lambda i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The **graviphotons** A^{IJ}_{μ} do not appear directly, only through the “dressed” vectors

$$A^{\Lambda}_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} f^{\Lambda}_{IJ} A^{IJ}_{\mu} + f^{\Lambda}_i A^i_{\mu}.$$

The **supersymmetry** transformations of the **fermionic** fields are

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\psi_{I\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mu}\epsilon_I + T_{IJ}{}^{+}{}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\nu}\epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\chi_{IJK} = -\frac{3i}{2} T_{[IJ}{}^{+}\epsilon_{K]} + i P_{IJKL}\epsilon^L,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\lambda_{iI} = -\frac{i}{2} T_i{}^{+}\epsilon_I + i P_{iIJ}\epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\chi_{IJKLM} = -5i P_{[IJKL}\epsilon_{M]} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{IJKLMN} T^{-}\epsilon^N + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{IJKLMNOP} T^{NO-}\epsilon^P,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\lambda_{iIJK} = -3i P_{i[IJ}\epsilon_{K]} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{IJKL} T_i{}^{-}\epsilon^L + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{IJKLMN} T^{LM-}\epsilon_N,$$

The **supersymmetry** transformations of the **fermionic** fields are

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\psi_{I\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mu}\epsilon_I + T_{IJ}{}^{+}{}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\nu}\epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\chi_{IJK} = -\frac{3i}{2} \mathcal{T}_{[IJ}{}^{+}\epsilon_{K]} + i \mathcal{P}_{IJKL}\epsilon^L,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\lambda_{iI} = -\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{T}_i{}^{+}\epsilon_I + i \mathcal{P}_{iIJ}\epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\chi_{IJKLM} = -5i \mathcal{P}_{[IJKL}\epsilon_{M]} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{IJKLMN} \mathcal{T}^{-}\epsilon^N + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{IJKLMNOP} \mathcal{T}^{NO-}\epsilon^P,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\lambda_{iIJK} = -3i \mathcal{P}_{i[IJ}\epsilon_{K]} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{IJKL} \mathcal{T}_i{}^{-}\epsilon^L + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{IJKLMN} \mathcal{T}^{LM-}\epsilon_N,$$

where the graviphoton and matter vector field strengths are

$$T_{IJ}{}^{+} = \langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad T_i{}^{+} = \langle \mathcal{V}_i | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}{}^{+} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* F^{\Sigma+},$$

The **supersymmetry** transformations of the **fermionic** fields are

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\psi_{I\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mu}\epsilon_I + T_{IJ}{}^{+}{}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\nu}\epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\chi_{IJK} = -\frac{3i}{2} \mathcal{T}_{[IJ}{}^{+}\epsilon_{K]} + i \mathcal{P}_{IJKL}\epsilon^L,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\lambda_{iI} = -\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{T}_i{}^{+}\epsilon_I + i \mathcal{P}_{iIJ}\epsilon^J,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\chi_{IJKLM} = -5i \mathcal{P}_{[IJKL}\epsilon_{M]} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{IJKLMN} \mathcal{T}^{-}\epsilon^N + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{IJKLMNOP} \mathcal{T}^{NO-}\epsilon^P,$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\lambda_{iIJK} = -3i \mathcal{P}_{i[IJ}\epsilon_{K]} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{IJKL} \mathcal{T}_i{}^{-}\epsilon^L + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{IJKLMN} \mathcal{T}^{LM-}\epsilon_N,$$

where the graviphoton and matter vector field strengths are

$$T_{IJ}{}^{+} = \langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad T_i{}^{+} = \langle \mathcal{V}_i | \mathcal{F}^{+} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}{}^{+} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* F^{\Sigma+},$$

and where

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}\epsilon_I \equiv \nabla_{\mu}\epsilon_I - \epsilon_J \Omega_{\mu}{}^J{}_I,$$

and $\Omega_{\mu}{}^J{}_I$ is the pullback of the connection of the **scalar** manifold ($\subset U(N)$).

The action for the **bosonic** fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R + 2\Im \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Re \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} \star F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{4!} \alpha_1 P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} P_{IJKL}{}^{\mu} + \alpha_2 P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} P_{iIJ}{}^{\mu} \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = h f^{-1} = \mathcal{N}^T, \quad h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} f^{\Sigma}, \quad \mathfrak{D}h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* \mathfrak{D}f^{\Lambda}.$$

The action for the **bosonic** fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R + 2\Im \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Re \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} \star F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{4!} \alpha_1 P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} P_{IJKL}{}^{\mu} + \alpha_2 P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} P_{iIJ}{}^{\mu} \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = h f^{-1} = \mathcal{N}^T, \quad h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} f^{\Sigma}, \quad \mathfrak{D}h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* \mathfrak{D}f^{\Lambda}.$$

The N -specific constraints must be taken into account to find the e.o.m.:

The action for the **bosonic** fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R + 2\Im \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Re \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} \star F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{4!} \alpha_1 P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} P_{IJKL}{}^{\mu} + \alpha_2 P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} P_{iIJ}{}^{\mu} \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = h f^{-1} = \mathcal{N}^T, \quad h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} f^{\Sigma}, \quad \mathfrak{D} h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* \mathfrak{D} f^{\Lambda}.$$

The N -specific constraints must be taken into account to find the e.o.m.:

For $N = 2$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + 2T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu} + P^{*iIJ}{}^A P^{*jk}{}_A T_{j+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{k+}{}^{\mu\nu}.$

The action for the **bosonic** fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R + 2\Im \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Re \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} \star F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{4!} \alpha_1 P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} P_{IJKL}{}^{\mu} + \alpha_2 P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} P_{iIJ}{}^{\mu} \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = h f^{-1} = \mathcal{N}^T, \quad h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} f^{\Sigma}, \quad \mathfrak{D} h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* \mathfrak{D} f^{\Lambda}.$$

The N -specific constraints must be taken into account to find the e.o.m.:

For $N = 2$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + 2T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu} + P^{*iIJ}{}^A P^{*jk}{}_A T_{j+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{k+}{}^{\mu\nu}.$

For $N = 3$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + 2T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu}.$

The action for the **bosonic** fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R + 2\Im \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Re \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} \star F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{4!} \alpha_1 P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} P_{IJKL}{}^{\mu} + \alpha_2 P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} P_{iIJ}{}^{\mu} \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = hf^{-1} = \mathcal{N}^T, \quad h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} f^{\Sigma}. \quad \mathfrak{D}h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* \mathfrak{D}f^{\Lambda}.$$

The N -specific constraints must be taken into account to find the e.o.m.:

For $N = 2$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + 2T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu} + P^{*iIJ}{}^A P^{*jk}{}_A T_{j+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{k+}{}^{\mu\nu}.$

For $N = 3$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + 2T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu}.$

For $N = 4$:
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{IJKL} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} + 6T^{[IJ]-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{[KL]-}{}^{\mu\nu} \\ \quad + P^{*IJKL}{}^A P^{*ij}{}_A T_{i+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{j+}{}^{\mu\nu}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{IJKL} T_{i+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{KL+}{}^{\mu\nu}. \end{array} \right.$$

The action for the **bosonic** fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R + 2\Im \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Re \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^{\Lambda\mu\nu} \star F^{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{4!} \alpha_1 P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} P_{IJKL}{}^{\mu} + \alpha_2 P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} P_{iIJ}{}^{\mu} \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = hf^{-1} = \mathcal{N}^T, \quad h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} f^{\Sigma}. \quad \mathfrak{D}h_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}^* \mathfrak{D}f^{\Lambda}.$$

The N -specific constraints must be taken into account to find the e.o.m.:

For $N = 2$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + 2T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu} + P^{*iIJ}{}^A P^{*jk}{}_A T_{j+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{k+}{}^{\mu\nu}.$

For $N = 3$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + 2T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu}.$

For $N = 4$:
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{IJKL} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} + 6T^{[IJ]-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{[KL]-\mu\nu} \\ \quad + P^{*IJKL}{}^A P^{*ij}{}_A T_{i+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{j+}{}^{\mu\nu}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*iIJ}{}_{\mu} + T^{i-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{IJ-\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{IJKL} T_{i+}{}_{\mu\nu} T_{KL+}{}^{\mu\nu}. \end{array} \right.$$

For $N = 5$: $\mathcal{E}^{IJKL} = \mathfrak{D}^{\mu} P^{*IJKL}{}_{\mu} + 6T^{[IJ]-}{}_{\mu\nu} T^{[KL]-\mu\nu}.$ etc.

9 – The all- N Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)

For all values of N the independent KSEs take the form

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \epsilon_I + T_{IJ}^+{}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{IJKL} \epsilon^L - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{T}_{[IJ}^+ \epsilon_{K]} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{iIJ} \epsilon^J - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_i^+ \epsilon_I = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{[IJKL} \epsilon_{M]} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{i[IJ} \epsilon_{K]} = 0.$$

The last two KSEs should only be considered for $N = 5$ and $N = 3$, resp.

9 – The all-N Killing Spinor Equations (KSEs)

For all values of N the independent KSEs take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_\mu \epsilon_I + T_{IJ}^+{}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{IJKL} \epsilon^L - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{T}_{[IJ}^+ \epsilon_{K]} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{iIJ} \epsilon^J - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_i^+ \epsilon_I &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{[IJKL} \epsilon_{M]} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{i[IJ} \epsilon_{K]} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last two KSEs should only be considered for $N = 5$ and $N = 3$, resp.

Again, our goal is to find **all** the bosonic field configurations $\{e^a{}_\mu, A^\Lambda{}_\mu, P_{IJKL\mu}, P_{iIJ\mu}\}$ such that the above KSEs admit at least one solution ϵ^I .

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = -M_{JI}$.

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = -M_{JI}$.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = -M_{JI}$.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4- Fierz identities imply the following properties for them:

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = -M_{JI}$.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4- Fierz identities imply the following properties for them:

1. $M_{I[J} M_{KL]} = 0$, so $\text{rank}(M_{IJ}) \leq 2$.

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = -M_{JI}$.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I{}_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4- Fierz identities imply the following properties for them:

1. $M_{I[J} M_{KL]} = 0$, so $\text{rank}(M_{IJ}) \leq 2$.
2. $V_a \equiv V^I{}_{I a}$ is always non-spacelike: $V^2 = 2M^{IJ} M_{IJ} \equiv 2|M|^2 \geq 0$.

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = -M_{JI}$.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4- Fierz identities imply the following properties for them:

1. $M_{I[J} M_{KL]} = 0$, so $\text{rank}(M_{IJ}) \leq 2$.
2. $V_a \equiv V^I_{I a}$ is always non-spacelike: $V^2 = 2M^{IJ} M_{IJ} \equiv 2|M|^2 \geq 0$.
We only consider the timelike case.

10 – The all-N spinor-bilinears algebra

The independent bilinears that we can construct with one $U(N)$ vector of Weyl spinors ϵ_I are:

1. A complex antisymmetric matrix of scalars $M_{IJ} \equiv \bar{\epsilon}_I \epsilon_J = -M_{JI}$.
2. A Hermitean matrix of vectors $V^I_{J a} \equiv i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma_a \epsilon_J$.

The 4- Fierz identities imply the following properties for them:

1. $M_{I[J} M_{KL]} = 0$, so $\text{rank}(M_{IJ}) \leq 2$.
2. $V_a \equiv V^I_{I a}$ is always non-spacelike: $V^2 = 2M^{IJ} M_{IJ} \equiv 2|M|^2 \geq 0$.
We only consider the timelike case.
3. We can choose a tetrad $\{e^a_\mu\}$ such that $e^0_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |M|^{-1} V_\mu$. Then, defining $V^m_\mu \equiv |M| e^m_\mu$ we can decompose

$$V^I_{J \mu} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}^I_J V_\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma^m)^I_J V^m_\mu,$$

where $\mathcal{J}^I_J = 2M^{IK} M_{JK} |M|^{-2}$ is a rank 2 projector (Tod):

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = \mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{J}^I_I = +2, \quad \mathcal{J}^I_J \epsilon^J = \epsilon^I.$$

The main properties satisfied by the three σ^m matrices are:

$$\sigma^m \sigma^n = \delta^{mn} \mathcal{J} + i\varepsilon^{mnp} \sigma^p,$$

$$\mathcal{J} \sigma^m = \sigma^m \mathcal{J} = \sigma^m,$$

$$(\sigma^m)^I{}_I = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{J}^K{}_J \mathcal{J}^L{}_I = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}^K{}_I \mathcal{J}^L{}_J + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^m)^K{}_I (\sigma^m)^L{}_J,$$

$$M_{K[I} (\sigma^m)^K{}_J] = 0,$$

$$2|M|^{-2} M_{LI} (\sigma^m)^I{}_J M^{JK} = (\sigma^m)^K{}_L,$$

The main properties satisfied by the three σ^m matrices are:

$$\sigma^m \sigma^n = \delta^{mn} \mathcal{J} + i\varepsilon^{mnp} \sigma^p,$$

$$\mathcal{J} \sigma^m = \sigma^m \mathcal{J} = \sigma^m,$$

$$(\sigma^m)^I{}_I = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{J}^K{}_J \mathcal{J}^L{}_I = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}^K{}_I \mathcal{J}^L{}_J + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^m)^K{}_I (\sigma^m)^L{}_J,$$

$$M_{K[I} (\sigma^m)^K{}_J] = 0,$$

$$2|M|^{-2} M_{LI} (\sigma^m)^I{}_J M^{JK} = (\sigma^m)^K{}_L,$$

$\{\mathcal{J}, \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3\}$ is an x -dependent basis of a $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(N)$ in the 2-dimensional eigenspace of \mathcal{J} of eigenvalue +1 and provide a basis in the space of Hermitean matrices A satisfying $\mathcal{J}A\mathcal{J} = A$

11 – The all-N Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

If we assume that a given **bosonic** field configuration admits a **Killing spinor** ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^{IJKL}, \mathcal{E}^{iIJ}\}$ satisfy the **KSIs** ($\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^I{}_J \equiv \delta^I{}_J - \mathcal{J}^I{}_J$):

11 – The all-N Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

If we assume that a given **bosonic** field configuration admits a **Killing spinor** ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^{IJKL}, \mathcal{E}^{iIJ}\}$ satisfy the **KSIs** ($\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^I{}_J \equiv \delta^I{}_J - \mathcal{J}^I{}_J$):

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$

11 – The all-N Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^{IJKL}, \mathcal{E}^{iIJ}\}$ satisfy the KSIs ($\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^I{}_J \equiv \delta^I{}_J - \mathcal{J}^I{}_J$):

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0$.
2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0$.

11 – The all-N Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^{IJKL}, \mathcal{E}^{iIJ}\}$ satisfy the KSIs ($\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^I{}_J \equiv \delta^I{}_J - \mathcal{J}^I{}_J$):

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$

2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0.$

3.
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{MNPQ} \mathcal{J}^{[I}{}_M \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^J{}_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K{}_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{L]}{}_Q = 0, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iMN} \mathcal{J}^{[I}{}_M \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{J]}{}_N = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (\Rightarrow \text{no attractor mechanism})$$

11 – The all-N Killing Spinor Identities (KSIs)

If we assume that a given bosonic field configuration admits a Killing spinor ϵ_I , then we find that the (*off-shell*) “equations of motion” $\{\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{E}^{IJKL}, \mathcal{E}^{iIJ}\}$ satisfy the KSIs ($\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^I{}_J \equiv \delta^I{}_J - \mathcal{J}^I{}_J$):

1. $\mathcal{E}^{0m} = \mathcal{E}^{mn} = 0.$

2. $\mathcal{E}^m = 0.$

3.
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}^{MNPQ} \mathcal{J}^{[I}{}_M \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^J{}_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K{}_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{L]}{}_Q = 0, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iMN} \mathcal{J}^{[I}{}_M \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{J]}{}_N = 0, \end{cases} \quad (\Rightarrow \text{no attractor mechanism})$$

4. $\mathcal{E}^{00} = -2\sqrt{2} \langle \mathcal{E}^0 | \Re \left(\nu_{IJ} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \right) \rangle, \text{ (Bogomol'nyi bound)}$

5. $\langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \Im \left(\nu_{IJ} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \right) \rangle$, (\Rightarrow no NUT charge).

5. $\langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \Im \left(\mathcal{V}_{IJ} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \right) \rangle$, (\Rightarrow no NUT charge).

6. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{MNPQ} \mathcal{J}^I_M \mathcal{J}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L_Q, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iMN} \mathcal{J}^I_M \mathcal{J}^J_N, \end{array} \right.$ are related to \mathcal{E}^0 (\Rightarrow attractor mechanism)

5. $\langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \Im \left(\mathcal{V}_{IJ} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \right) \rangle, (\Rightarrow \text{no NUT charge}).$

6. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{MNPQ} \mathcal{J}^I_M \mathcal{J}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L_Q, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iMN} \mathcal{J}^I_M \mathcal{J}^J_N, \end{array} \right.$ are related to \mathcal{E}^0 (\Rightarrow attractor mechanism)

The precise form of the relation depends on N :

5. $\langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \Im \left(\mathcal{V}_{IJ} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \right) \rangle$, (\Rightarrow no NUT charge).

6. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{MNPQ} \mathcal{J}^{[I}_M \mathcal{J}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L]_Q, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iMN} \mathcal{J}^{[I}_M \mathcal{J}^J]_N, \end{array} \right.$ are related to \mathcal{E}^0 (\Rightarrow attractor mechanism)

The precise form of the relation depends on N :

$$N = 3 : \mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = -2\sqrt{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \mathcal{V}^{*i} \rangle,$$

5. $\langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \Im \left(\mathcal{V}_{IJ} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \right) \rangle$, (\Rightarrow no NUT charge).

6. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{MNPQ} \mathcal{J}^{[I}_M \mathcal{J}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L]_Q, \\ \mathcal{E}^{iMN} \mathcal{J}^{[I}_M \mathcal{J}^J]_N, \end{array} \right.$ are related to \mathcal{E}^0 (\Rightarrow attractor mechanism)

The precise form of the relation depends on N :

$N = 3$: $\mathcal{E}^{iIJ} = -2\sqrt{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|} \langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \mathcal{V}^{*i} \rangle$,

$N = 4$: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}^{IJKL} = -2\sqrt{2} \frac{M^{[IJ}}{|M|} \langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \mathcal{V}^{*|KL]} \rangle, \\ \mathcal{E}_{iIJ} = -2\sqrt{2} \left\{ \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|} \langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{IJKL} \frac{M^{KL}}{|M|} \langle \mathcal{E}^0 \mid \mathcal{V}^{*i} \rangle \right\}, \end{array} \right.$

etc.

The only independent equations of motion that have to be imposed on **any** $d = 4$ supersymmetric configuration are

$$\mathcal{E}^0 = 0.$$

12 – The all-N supersymmetric solutions

The construction of any timelike **supersymmetric** solution proceeds as follows:

12 – The all- N supersymmetric solutions

The construction of any timelike **supersymmetric** solution proceeds as follows:

I. Choose the $U(2)$ subgroup determining the associated $N = 2$ **truncation**:

12 – The all- N supersymmetric solutions

The construction of any timelike **supersymmetric** solution proceeds as follows:

I. Choose the $U(2)$ subgroup determining the associated $N = 2$ **truncation**:

1. Choose x -dependent rank-2, $N \times N$ complex antisymmetric M_{IJ} . With it we construct the projector $\mathcal{J}^I_J \equiv 2|M|^{-2} M^{IK} M_{JK}$.

12 – The all- N supersymmetric solutions

The construction of any timelike **supersymmetric** solution proceeds as follows:

I. Choose the $U(2)$ subgroup determining the associated $N = 2$ **truncation**:

1. Choose x -dependent rank-2, $N \times N$ complex antisymmetric M_{IJ} . With it we construct the projector $\mathcal{J}^I_J \equiv 2|M|^{-2} M^{IK} M_{JK}$.

Supersymmetry requires is covariant constancy

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathcal{J} \equiv d\mathcal{J} - [\mathcal{J}, \Omega] = 0,$$

which implies constancy for $N = 2$, $N = 3$ and $N = 4$, but not in general.

12 – The all-N supersymmetric solutions

The construction of any timelike **supersymmetric** solution proceeds as follows:

I. Choose the $U(2)$ subgroup determining the associated $N = 2$ **truncation**:

1. Choose x -dependent rank-2, $N \times N$ complex antisymmetric M_{IJ} . With it we construct the projector $\mathcal{J}^I_J \equiv 2|M|^{-2} M^{IK} M_{JK}$.

Supersymmetry requires is covariant constancy

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathcal{J} \equiv d\mathcal{J} - [\mathcal{J}, \Omega] = 0,$$

which implies constancy for $N = 2$, $N = 3$ and $N = 4$, but not in general.

2. Choose three $N \times N$, **Hermitean**, traceless, x -dependent $(\sigma^m)^I_J$, satisfying the same properties as the **Pauli** matrices in the subspace preserved by \mathcal{J} .

12 – The all-N supersymmetric solutions

The construction of any timelike **supersymmetric** solution proceeds as follows:

I. Choose the $U(2)$ subgroup determining the associated $N = 2$ **truncation**:

1. Choose x -dependent rank-2, $N \times N$ complex antisymmetric M_{IJ} . With it we construct the projector $\mathcal{J}^I{}_J \equiv 2|M|^{-2} M^{IK} M_{JK}$.

Supersymmetry requires is covariant constancy

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathcal{J} \equiv d\mathcal{J} - [\mathcal{J}, \Omega] = 0,$$

which implies constancy for $N = 2$, $N = 3$ and $N = 4$, but not in general.

2. Choose three $N \times N$, **Hermitean**, traceless, x -dependent $(\sigma^m)^I{}_J$, satisfying the same properties as the **Pauli** matrices in the subspace preserved by \mathcal{J} .

We also have to impose the constraint

$$\mathcal{J} d\sigma^m \mathcal{J} = 0.$$

Once the $U(2)$ subgroup has been chosen, we can split the Vielbeins $P_{IJKL\mu}$ and $P_{iIJ\mu}$, into associated to the would-be **vector multiplets** in the $N = 2$ **truncation**

$$P_{IJKL} \mathcal{J}^I_{[M} \mathcal{J}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L_{Q]}, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{iIJ} \mathcal{J}^I_{[K} \mathcal{J}^J_{L]},$$

which are driven by the *attractor mechanism* (*i.e.* they are determined by the **electric** and **magnetic** charges) and those associated to the **hypermultiplets**

$$P_{IJKL} \mathcal{J}^I_{[M} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L_{Q]}, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{iIJ} \mathcal{J}^I_{[K} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^J_{L]}.$$

which are not.

In **hyper**-less solutions (*e.g.* black holes) the σ^m s matrices are not needed at all.

II. After the choice of $U(2)$ subgroup, the solutions are constructed:

II. After the choice of $U(2)$ subgroup, the solutions are constructed:

1. Define the real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv |M|^{-2} \mathcal{V}_{IJ} M^{IJ} .$$

($U(N)$ singlets \Rightarrow no $U(N)$ **gauge** -fixing necessary)

II. After the choice of $U(2)$ subgroup, the solutions are constructed:

1. Define the real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv |M|^{-2} \mathcal{V}_{IJ} M^{IJ} .$$

($U(N)$ singlets \Rightarrow no $U(N)$ **gauge** -fixing necessary) **2.** The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a **symplectic** vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric $\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$:

II. After the choice of $U(2)$ subgroup, the solutions are constructed:

1. Define the real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv |M|^{-2} \mathcal{V}_{IJ} M^{IJ} .$$

($U(N)$ singlets \Rightarrow no $U(N)$ **gauge** -fixing necessary) **2.** The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a **symplectic** vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric $\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$:

$$\nabla_{(3)}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0 .$$

II. After the choice of $U(2)$ subgroup, the solutions are constructed:

1. Define the real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv |M|^{-2} \mathcal{V}_{IJ} M^{IJ} .$$

($U(N)$ singlets \Rightarrow no $U(N)$ **gauge** -fixing necessary) **2.** The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a **symplectic** vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric $\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$:

$$\nabla_{(3)}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0 .$$

3. \mathcal{R} is to be found from \mathcal{I} by solving the generalized *stabilization equations*.

II. After the choice of $U(2)$ subgroup, the solutions are constructed:

1. Define the real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv |M|^{-2} \mathcal{V}_{IJ} M^{IJ} .$$

($U(N)$ singlets \Rightarrow no $U(N)$ **gauge** -fixing necessary) **2.** The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a **symplectic** vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric $\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$:

$$\nabla_{(3)}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0 .$$

3. \mathcal{R} is to be found from \mathcal{I} by solving the generalized *stabilization equations*.

4. The metric is

$$ds^2 = |M|^2 (dt + \omega)^2 - |M|^{-2} \gamma_{\underline{mn}} dx^m dx^n .$$

II. After the choice of $U(2)$ subgroup, the solutions are constructed:

1. Define the real **symplectic** vectors \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I}

$$\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \equiv |M|^{-2} \mathcal{V}_{IJ} M^{IJ} .$$

($U(N)$ singlets \Rightarrow no $U(N)$ **gauge** -fixing necessary) **2.** The components of \mathcal{I} are given by a **symplectic** vector real functions \mathcal{H} harmonic in the 3-dimensional transverse space with metric $\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$:

$$\nabla_{(3)}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0 .$$

3. \mathcal{R} is to be found from \mathcal{I} by solving the generalized *stabilization equations*.

4. The metric is

$$ds^2 = |M|^2 (dt + \omega)^2 - |M|^{-2} \gamma_{\underline{mn}} dx^m dx^n .$$

where

$$|M|^{-2} = (M^{IJ} M_{IJ})^{-2} = \langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{I} \rangle ,$$

$$(d\omega)_{mn} = 2\epsilon_{mnp} \langle \mathcal{I} | \partial^p \mathcal{I} \rangle .$$

γ_{mn} is determined indirectly from the would-be **hypers** in the associated $N = 2$ **truncation** and its curvature vanishes when those scalars vanish.

$\gamma_{\underline{mn}}$ is determined indirectly from the would-be **hypers** in the associated $N = 2$ **truncation** and its curvature vanishes when those scalars vanish.

Its spin connection ϖ^{mn} is related to Ω , by

$$\varpi^{mn} = i\varepsilon^{mnp} \text{Tr} [\sigma^p \Omega].$$

(Observe that only the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ components of Ω contribute to ϖ^{mn}).

γ_{mn} is determined indirectly from the would-be **hypers** in the associated $N = 2$ **truncation** and its curvature vanishes when those scalars vanish.

Its spin connection ϖ^{mn} is related to Ω , by

$$\varpi^{mn} = i\varepsilon^{mnp} \text{Tr} [\sigma^p \Omega].$$

(Observe that only the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ components of Ω contribute to ϖ^{mn} .)

5. The vector field strengths are

$$F = -\frac{1}{2}d(\mathcal{R}\hat{V}) - \frac{1}{2}\star(\hat{V} \wedge d\mathcal{I}), \quad \hat{V} = \sqrt{2}|M|^2(dt + \omega).$$

γ_{mn} is determined indirectly from the would-be **hypers** in the associated $N = 2$ **truncation** and its curvature vanishes when those scalars vanish.

Its spin connection ϖ^{mn} is related to Ω , by

$$\varpi^{mn} = i\varepsilon^{mnp} \text{Tr} [\sigma^p \Omega].$$

(Observe that only the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ components of Ω contribute to ϖ^{mn} .)

5. The vector field strengths are

$$F = -\frac{1}{2}d(\mathcal{R}\hat{V}) - \frac{1}{2} \star (\hat{V} \wedge d\mathcal{I}), \quad \hat{V} = \sqrt{2}|M|^2(dt + \omega).$$

6. The scalars in the **vector multiplets** in the associated $N = 2$ **truncation**

$$P_{IJKL} \mathcal{J}^I_{[M} \mathcal{J}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L_{Q]}, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{iIJ} \mathcal{J}^I_{[K} \mathcal{J}^J_{L]},$$

can be found from \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I} , while those in the **hypers** must be found independently by solving

$$P_{IJKLm} \mathcal{J}^I_{[M} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^J_N \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^K_P \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^L_{Q]} (\sigma^m)^Q_R = 0,$$

$$P_{iIJm} \mathcal{J}^I_{[K} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^J_{L]} (\sigma^m)^L_M = 0,$$

which solve their equations of motion according to the *Killing Spinor Identities*.

13 – Final comments

13 – Final comments

- ★ We have found the general form of all the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ supergravities .

13 – Final comments

- ★ We have found the general form of all the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ supergravities .
- ★ We have proven the relation between the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ supergravities and those of the $N = 2$ theories (for black holes conjectured by Ferrara, Gimon & Kallosh (2006) and proven by Bossard (2010)).

13 – Final comments

- ★ We have found the general form of all the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ **supergravities** .
- ★ We have proven the relation between the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ **supergravities** and those of the $N = 2$ theories (for black holes conjectured by [Ferrara, Gimon & Kallosh \(2006\)](#) and proven by [Bossard \(2010\)](#)).
- ★ We have shown how the would-be **scalars** in **vector multiplets** and **hypermultiplets** can be distinguished and we have shown that the *attractor mechanism* only acts on the former.

13 – Final comments

- ★ We have found the general form of all the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ **supergravities** .
- ★ We have proven the relation between the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ **supergravities** and those of the $N = 2$ theories (for black holes conjectured by [Ferrara, Gimon & Kallosh \(2006\)](#) and proven by [Bossard \(2010\)](#)).
- ★ We have shown how the would-be **scalars** in **vector multiplets** and **hypermultiplets** can be distinguished and we have shown that the *attractor mechanism* only acts on the former.
- ★ ‘1-line’ derivations of the **attractor flow equations** can be readily given.

13 – Final comments

- ★ We have found the general form of all the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ **supergravities** .
- ★ We have proven the relation between the timelike **supersymmetric** solutions of all $d = 4$ **supergravities** and those of the $N = 2$ theories (for black holes conjectured by Ferrara, Gimon & Kallosh (2006) and proven by Bossard (2010)).
- ★ We have shown how the would-be **scalars** in **vector multiplets** and **hypermultiplets** can be distinguished and we have shown that the *attractor mechanism* only acts on the former.
- ★ ‘1-line’ derivations of the **attractor flow equations** can be readily given.
- ★ Much work remains to be done in order to make explicit the construction of the solutions. In particular one has to find general parametrizations of the matrices M^{IJ} and $\mathcal{J}^I{}_J$, solve the *stabilization equations*, impose the covariant constancy of \mathcal{J} etc. (Meessen & O., work in progress).

Attractor flow equations

A simple derivation of the attractor flow eqs. in $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity

Attractor flow equations

A simple derivation of the attractor flow eqs. in $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity

Consider $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to n vector multiplets

$$\{A_{\mu}^x, \lambda^{ix}, \phi^x\}, \quad x = 1, \dots, n.$$

Attractor flow equations

A simple derivation of the attractor flow eqs. in $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity

Consider $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to n vector multiplets

$$\{A^x_{\mu}, \lambda^{ix}, \phi^x\}, \quad x = 1, \dots, n.$$

The matter vector fields A^x_{μ} and the graviphoton A^0_{μ} are combined into an $SO(n + 1)$ vector A^I_{μ} with $I = 0, x$.

Attractor flow equations

A simple derivation of the attractor flow eqs. in $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity

Consider $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to n vector multiplets

$$\{A^x_{\mu}, \lambda^{ix}, \phi^x\}, \quad x = 1, \dots, n.$$

The matter vector fields A^x_{μ} and the graviphoton A^0_{μ} are combined into an $SO(n + 1)$ vector A^I_{μ} with $I = 0, x$. To make manifest the symmetries, the n real scalars ϕ^x are described by $n + 1$ functions $h^I(\phi)$ which are **constrained**:

$$C_{IJK} h^I h^J h^K = 1.$$

Attractor flow equations

A simple derivation of the attractor flow eqs. in $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity

Consider $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to n vector multiplets

$$\{A^x_{\mu}, \lambda^{ix}, \phi^x\}, \quad x = 1, \dots, n.$$

The matter vector fields A^x_{μ} and the graviphoton A^0_{μ} are combined into an $SO(n+1)$ vector A^I_{μ} with $I = 0, x$. To make manifest the symmetries, the n real scalars ϕ^x are described by $n+1$ functions $h^I(\phi)$ which are **constrained**:

$$C_{IJK} h^I h^J h^K = 1.$$

We introduce a function f and assume ($h_I \equiv C_{IJK} h^J h^K$)

$$h_I / f \equiv l_I + q_I \rho,$$

for some coordinate ρ .

Attractor flow equations

A simple derivation of the attractor flow eqs. in $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity

Consider $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to n vector multiplets

$$\{A^x_{\mu}, \lambda^{ix}, \phi^x\}, \quad x = 1, \dots, n.$$

The matter vector fields A^x_{μ} and the graviphoton A^0_{μ} are combined into an $SO(n+1)$ vector A^I_{μ} with $I = 0, x$. To make manifest the symmetries, the n real scalars ϕ^x are described by $n+1$ functions $h^I(\phi)$ which are **constrained**:

$$C_{IJK} h^I h^J h^K = 1.$$

We introduce a function f and assume ($h_I \equiv C_{IJK} h^J h^K$)

$$h_I / f \equiv l_I + q_I \rho,$$

for some coordinate ρ . Let's define the **central charge**

$$\mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q] \equiv h^I(\phi) q_I.$$

Then, using $h^I h_I = 1$ and $dh^I h_I = h^I dh_I = 0$

$$df^{-1} = d(h^I h_I / f) = h^I d(h_I / f),$$

Then, using $h^I h_I = 1$ and $dh^I h_I = h^I dh_I = 0$

$$df^{-1} = d(h^I h_I / f) = h^I d(h_I / f),$$

from which we get

$$\frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q].$$

Then, using $h^I h_I = 1$ and $dh^I h_I = h^I dh_I = 0$

$$df^{-1} = d(h^I h_I / f) = h^I d(h_I / f),$$

from which we get

$$\frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q].$$

Using now the above properties plus $h^I_x h_{Iy} = g_{xy}$, where $h_{Iy} = -\sqrt{3}\partial_y h_I$ and $h^I_x = \sqrt{3}\partial_x h_I$

$$d\phi^x = h^{Ix} h_{Iy} d\phi^y = -\sqrt{3}h^{Ix} dh_I = -\sqrt{3}h^{Ix} d(fh_I / f) = -\sqrt{3}fh^{Ix} d(h_I / f),$$

Then, using $h^I h_I = 1$ and $dh^I h_I = h^I dh_I = 0$

$$df^{-1} = d(h^I h_I / f) = h^I d(h_I / f),$$

from which we get

$$\frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q].$$

Using now the above properties plus $h^I_x h_{Iy} = g_{xy}$, where $h_{Iy} = -\sqrt{3}\partial_y h_I$ and $h^I_x = \sqrt{3}\partial_x h_I$

$$d\phi^x = h^{Ix} h_{Iy} d\phi^y = -\sqrt{3}h^{Ix} dh_I = -\sqrt{3}h^{Ix} d(fh_I / f) = -\sqrt{3}fh^{Ix} d(h_I / f),$$

from which we get

$$\frac{d\phi^x}{d\rho} = -fg^{xy} \partial_y \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q].$$

The autonomous system of ordinary differential equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q], \\ \frac{d\phi^x}{d\rho} = -fg^{xy}\partial_y \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q]. \end{array} \right.$$

are the *black-hole attractor flow equations* of $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to vector supermultiplets.

The autonomous system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q], \\ \frac{d\phi^x}{d\rho} = -fg^{xy}\partial_y\mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q]. \end{cases}$$

are the *black-hole attractor flow equations* of $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to *vector supermultiplets*.

The scalars will be attracted to the fixed points at which the r.h.s. vanishes:

$$\partial_y\mathcal{Z}[\phi, q] \Big|_{\phi=\phi_{\text{fix}}} = 0, \quad (\textit{Attractor equations}).$$

The autonomous system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q], \\ \frac{d\phi^x}{d\rho} = -fg^{xy}\partial_y\mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q]. \end{cases}$$

are the *black-hole attractor flow equations* of $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to *vector supermultiplets*.

The scalars will be attracted to the fixed points at which the r.h.s. vanishes:

$$\partial_y\mathcal{Z}[\phi, q]\Big|_{\phi=\phi_{\text{fix}}} = 0, \quad (\textit{Attractor equations}).$$

ϕ_{fix} depends on the constants q_I and **not** on the constants l_I

$$\phi_{\text{fix}} = \phi_{\text{fix}}(q)$$

The autonomous system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q], \\ \frac{d\phi^x}{d\rho} = -fg^{xy}\partial_y\mathcal{Z}[\phi(\rho), q]. \end{cases}$$

are the *black-hole attractor flow equations* of $N = 1, d = 5$ supergravity coupled to vector supermultiplets.

The scalars will be attracted to the fixed points at which the r.h.s. vanishes:

$$\left. \partial_y \mathcal{Z}[\phi, q] \right|_{\phi=\phi_{\text{fix}}} = 0, \quad (\textit{Attractor equations}).$$

ϕ_{fix} depends on the constants q_I and **not** on the constants l_I

$$\phi_{\text{fix}} = \phi_{\text{fix}}(q)$$

At the *attractor point* $\rho_{\text{attract}} \phi(\rho_{\text{attract}}) = \phi_{\text{fix}}$

$$\left. \frac{df^{-1}}{d\rho} \right|_{\rho=\rho_{\text{attract}}} = \mathcal{Z}[\phi_{\text{fix}}(q), q] \equiv \mathcal{Z}_{\text{fix}}(q).$$

Now for all $N \geq 2, d = 4$ supergravities

Now for all $N \geq 2, d = 4$ supergravities

Assume that, for some coordinate ρ $\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{I}_0 + q\rho$.

Now for all $N \geq 2, d = 4$ supergravities

Assume that, for some coordinate ρ $\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{I}_0 + q\rho$.

We define the **central charges**

$$\mathcal{Z}_{IJ}[\phi(\rho), q] \equiv \langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | q \rangle = p^\Lambda h_{\Lambda IJ} - q_\Lambda f^\Lambda_{IJ},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_i[\phi(\rho), q] \equiv \langle \mathcal{V}_i | q \rangle = p^\Lambda h_{\Lambda i} - q_\Lambda f^\Lambda_i.$$

Now for all $N \geq 2, d = 4$ supergravities

Assume that, for some coordinate ρ $\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{I}_0 + q\rho$.

We define the **central charges**

$$\mathcal{Z}_{IJ}[\phi(\rho), q] \equiv \langle \mathcal{V}_{IJ} | q \rangle = p^\Lambda h_{\Lambda IJ} - q_\Lambda f^\Lambda_{IJ},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_i[\phi(\rho), q] \equiv \langle \mathcal{V}_i | q \rangle = p^\Lambda h_{\Lambda i} - q_\Lambda f^\Lambda_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} &= \mathfrak{D} \left(\frac{M^{KL}}{|M|^2} \frac{i}{2} \langle \mathcal{V}_{KL} | \mathcal{V}^{*IJ} \rangle \right) = \frac{i}{2} \mathfrak{D} \langle (\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I}) | \mathcal{V}^{*IJ} \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I}) | \mathcal{V}^{*IJ} \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} - i\mathcal{I}) | \mathcal{V}^{*IJ} \rangle - \langle d\mathcal{I} | \mathcal{V}^{*IJ} \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \frac{M_{KL}}{|M|^2} \langle d\mathcal{V}^{*KL} | \mathcal{V}^{*IJ} \rangle - \langle q | \mathcal{V}^{*IJ} \rangle d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P^{*KL IJ} \frac{M_{KL}}{|M|^2} + \mathcal{Z}^{*IJ}[\phi(\rho), q] d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

With the above identity we can compute

$$d|M|^{-2} = \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} + \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} = \frac{M_{IJ} \mathcal{Z}^{*IJ} + M^{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_{IJ}}{|M|^2} [\phi(\rho), q] d\rho,$$

With the above identity we can compute

$$d|M|^{-2} = \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} + \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} = \frac{M_{IJ} \mathcal{Z}^{*IJ} + M^{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_{IJ}}{|M|^2} [\phi(\rho), q] d\rho,$$

which leads to the flow equation (for all $N \geq 2$)

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} |M|^{-1} = \Re \left(\frac{M^{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_{IJ}}{|M|} \right).$$

With the above identity we can compute

$$d|M|^{-2} = \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} + \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} = \frac{M_{IJ} \mathcal{Z}^{*IJ} + M^{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_{IJ}}{|M|^2} [\phi(\rho), q] d\rho,$$

which leads to the flow equation (for all $N \geq 2$)

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} |M|^{-1} = \Re \left(\frac{M^{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_{IJ}}{|M|} \right).$$

We can also compute

$$0 = M^{[IJ} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M^{KL]}{|M|^2} = M^{[IJ} \mathcal{Z}^{*KL]} [\phi(\rho), q] d\rho + \frac{1}{2} P^{*MN} [IJ \mathcal{J}^K_M \mathcal{J}^L_N],$$

With the above identity we can compute

$$d|M|^{-2} = \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} + \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M_{IJ}}{|M|^2} = \frac{M_{IJ} \mathcal{Z}^{*IJ} + M^{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_{IJ}}{|M|^2} [\phi(\rho), q] d\rho,$$

which leads to the flow equation (for all $N \geq 2$)

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} |M|^{-1} = \Re \left(\frac{M^{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_{IJ}}{|M|} \right).$$

We can also compute

$$0 = M^{[IJ} \mathfrak{D} \frac{M^{KL]}{|M|^2} = M^{[IJ} \mathcal{Z}^{*KL]} [\phi(\rho), q] d\rho + \frac{1}{2} P^{*MN[IJ} \mathcal{J}^K_M \mathcal{J}^L]_N,$$

which leads to the flow equation ($N \geq 4$)

$$P^{*MN[IJ} \mathcal{J}^K_M \mathcal{J}^L]_N = -M^{[IJ} \mathcal{Z}^{*KL]} [\phi(\rho), q] d\rho.$$

The third flow equation ($N = 2, 3, 4, 6$) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} P_{iIJ} &= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} \langle d\mathcal{V}_{IJ} \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle = -\frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle \\ &= \langle d\mathcal{I} \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle - \frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} - i\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle \\ &= -\mathcal{Z}_i[\phi(\rho), q] d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

The third flow equation ($N = 2, 3, 4, 6$) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} P_{iIJ} &= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} \langle d\mathcal{V}_{IJ} \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle = -\frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle d\mathcal{I} \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle - \frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} - i\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle \\
 &= -\mathcal{Z}_i[\phi(\rho), q] d\rho,
 \end{aligned}$$

and takes the final form

$$P_{iKL} \mathcal{J}^K_I \mathcal{J}^L_J = -2M_{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_i[\phi(\rho), q] d\rho.$$

The third flow equation ($N = 2, 3, 4, 6$) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} P_{iIJ} &= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{M^{IJ}}{|M|^2} \langle d\mathcal{V}_{IJ} \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle = -\frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle d\mathcal{I} \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle - \frac{i}{2} \langle d(\mathcal{R} - i\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathcal{V}_i \rangle \\
 &= -\mathcal{Z}_i[\phi(\rho), q] d\rho,
 \end{aligned}$$

and takes the final form

$$P_{iKL} \mathcal{J}^K_I \mathcal{J}^L_J = -2M_{IJ} \mathcal{Z}_i[\phi(\rho), q] d\rho.$$

These flow equations lead to the generic N *attractor equations* (work in progress).